

**SDG BERGEN POLICY BRIEF #1** | JUNE 2021

# A sustainable ocean: providing data to assess ocean acidification



UNIVERSITY OF BERGEN

▶ ▶ The Bjerknes Climate Data Centre at the University of Bergen works to process measurements of ocean acidification. The data centre reports to IOC-UNESCO as part of SDG14.3 to minimize and address the impacts of ocean acidification.

The Sustainable Development Goal target 14.3 aims to 'minimize and address the impacts of ocean acidification (OA), including through enhanced scientific cooperation at all levels'. In order to do this, we need globally agreed and accepted ways for assessing the OA status of the ocean.

## **3 key points**

- Ocean acidification affects all ocean regions, and the ocean is becoming more acidic.
- 40 Gigatons of carbon dioxide is currently released into the atmosphere every year from fossil fuel consumption, cement production and land-use change (around 23% of this enters the ocean).
- Potentially this affects the lifecycles of all organisms that make structural elements of their bodies from calcium carbonate, including corals, and it may have other effects elsewhere in the food chain.

## Introduction

OA is caused by the uptake of atmospheric carbon dioxide  $(CO_2)$  by the ocean, slowing down the pace of climate change. This uptake changes the chemical composition of seawater resulting in a decreasing pH and acidification of the ocean. Ocean pH has decreased from 8.2 to 8.1 on a global average since the industrial revolution (IPCC, 2007). This decreases the capacity of the ocean to take up atmospheric  $CO_2$  and threatens marine organisms and the benefits the ocean provides to people, which may be economic, environmental, cultural or recreational.

However, this human perturbation to the ocean carbon cycle is superimposed on a natural carbon cycle – understanding the impacts of the human perturbation demands a knowledge of how the natural carbon cycle operates. OA happens in the long-term and on top of several natural processes (e.g., biological primary production and remineralization, calcium carbonate cycling). The combination of natural and human-induced changes makes some regions particularly vulnerable, like the Arctic, the Southern Ocean and Eastern Boundary Upwelling Systems e.g., in the Western Pacific (Lauvset et al., 2020).

Regional risks and vulnerabilities to ocean warming and acidification can be further compounded by non-climate related stressors such as pollution, nutrient runoff from land, and over-exploitation of marine resources. All these influences confound the detection and attribution of the impacts of climate change and OA on ecosystems yet may also represent opportunities for reducing risks through management strategies aimed at reducing their influence (Hoegh-Guldberg et al., 2014).

## **Analysis**

In 2019, the methodology for the indicator 14.3.1 Average marine acidity (pH) measured at agreed suite of representative sampling stations was published by the Intergovernmental Oceanographic Commission of UN-ESCO (IOC-UNESCO) which has the custodianship role for SDG 14.3.

This methodology provides the necessary guidance for scientists and countries on what, how and when to conduct OA observations, using different types of technology and measuring different variables. This indicator is based on observations that constrain the inorganic carbonate chemistry in seawater system, which is what governs ocean acidity.

An increasing number of countries measure carbonate chemistry data, but there are major temporal and spatial gaps in the observations that are conducted and reported. Guidance on the best sampling strategy is presented in the methodology, with advice on how to weigh possible constraints and opportunities against the expected outcome and data needs. A sampling strategy needs to be developed or adapted for each sampling site to take into consideration site-specific variability and local or regional capacity.

Scientists and data managers from the Bjerknes Centre for Climate Research with participants from the University of Bergen and NORCE play central roles in the development and maintenance of two major international, SDG 14.3-relevant data products: GLODAP – Global Ocean Data Analysis Project (Olsen et al., 2019) and SOCAT - Surface Ocean Carbon Dioxide Atlas (Bakker et al., 2016). The aim of these products is to ensure that relevant data is collected, guality controlled and aggregated into user-friendly data products. In addition, data management procedures are being modernized within the European Research Infrastructure Integrated Carbon Observation System (ICOS), making data easier to use and available in near real-time via Copernicus Marine Environmental Monitoring Services. The Bjerknes Centre is thus one of the main contributors to the first round of data reporting to the International Oceanographic Data Exchange programme of IOC UNESCO (IODE).



Map illustrating ocean carbonate chemistry measurement locations of the Global Ocean Acidification Observing Network (GOA-ON). SOURCE. WWW.GOA-ON.ORG

## Conclusions

The ocean absorbs around 23% of the annual anthropogenic emissions of CO<sub>2</sub> to the atmosphere, thereby helping to alleviate the impacts of climate change on the planet (Friedlingstein et al., 2019). As the ocean acidity increases, its capacity to absorb CO<sub>2</sub> from the atmosphere decreases, hampering the ocean's role in moderating climate change. Currently there is a lack of studies quantifying how natural processes control pH globally (Lauvset et al., 2020). Regular and global observations of OA are needed to improve the understanding of its consequences, enable modelling and predictions of change and variability, and help inform mitigation and adaptation strategies (IOC-UNESCO). Furthermore, data seemingly missing may have been collected, but not been made available. Inorganic carbon data are typically processed by individual scientists before being submitted to data archives and often this work is done on an ad hoc basis using unpublished self-built software, methods and published in unique formats. This leads to challenges in data flow and data availability in respect to SDG target submissions. The Bjerknes Climate Data Centre and the Research Infrastructure ICOS work to alleviate this situation.

### Recommendations

- Introduce standardized data and metadata reporting and develop globally standardized software to ensure that relevant data is collected, quality controlled and aggregated into user friendly data products.
- Accelerate the use of Big Data to structure heterogenous data and make it machine readable, which is essential to handle growing data inventories.
- Sustained funding for high quality observations is needed.

#### Further relevant SDGs:



IMPRINT

SDG Bergen Science Advice, Scientific Director: Edvard Hviding SDG Bergen Policy Briefs Editor: Sverre Ole Drønen

SDG BERGEN POLICY BRIEF #1 (JUNE 2021), ISSN 2703-8858

#### Relevance to the 2030 Agenda

SDG 14.3 is one of the ten targets under SDG 14: *Life below Water*, building towards the 2030 Agenda; ocean acidification is also a global climate indicator by the World Meteorological Organisation.

#### Authors

Benjamin Pfeil, Head of the Bjerknes Climate Data Centre and ICOS Ocean Thematic Centre Data Management; Geophysical Institute, University of Bergen and Bjerknes Centre for Climate Research, Bergen, Norway

Dr. Steve Jones, Software architect of the Bjerknes Climate Data Centre and ICOS Ocean Thematic Centre; Geophysical Institute, University of Bergen and Bjerknes Centre for Climate Research, Bergen, Norway Professor Are Olsen, Geophysical Institute, University of Bergen and Bjerknes Centre for Climate Research, Bergen, Norway

Dr. Siv Lauvset, Coordinator of ICOS Norway; NORCE and Bjerknes Centre for Climate Research, Bergen, Norway

Dr. Richard Sanders, Director ICOS Ocean Thematic Centre; NORCE, Bjerknes Centre for Climate Research, Bergen, Norway and National Oceanography Centre, Southampton, United Kingdom.

#### **Corresponding author**

Benjamin.Pfeil@uib.no + Further details: www.bcdc.no

#### Selected references

Friedlingstein et al., 2019: Global Carbon Budget 2019, Earth Syst. Sci. Data, 11, 1783–1838. <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-11-1783-2019</u>

Hoegh-Guldberg et al., 2014: The Ocean. In: Climate Change 2014: Impacts, Adaptation, and Vulnerability. Part B: Regional Aspects. Contribution of Working Group II to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, pp. 1655-1731. <u>https://www.ipcc.ch/site/assets/</u> uploads/2018/02/WGIIAR5-PartB\_FINAL.pdf

IPCC, 2007: Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. <u>https://www.ipcc.ch/site/assets/</u> <u>uploads/2018/05/ar4\_wg1\_full\_report-1.pdf</u>

Lauvset et al., 2020: Processes driving global interior ocean pH distribution. Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 34, e2019GB006229. <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2019GB006229</u>

Olsen et al., 2019: GLODAPv2.2019 – an update of GLODAPv2, Earth Syst. Sci. Data, 11, 1437–1461. <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-11-1437-2019</u>

Bakker et al., 2016: A multi-decade record of high-quality fCO2 data in version 3 of the Surface Ocean CO<sub>2</sub> Atlas (SOCAT), Earth Syst. Sci. Data, 8, 383–413. <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-8-383-2016</u>

#### Partners





**SDG Bergen Science Advice** 







